

International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow 20 (1999) 222-240



www.elsevier.com/locate/ijhff

Direct numerical and large eddy simulations in nuclear applications G. Grötzbach *, M. Wörner

Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Institut für Reaktorsicherheit, Postfach 3640, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

Abstract

Direct numerical and large eddy simulations are powerful tools for analyses of turbulent flows at low and large Reynolds or Rayleigh numbers in fundamental research. The current status of both methods and recent extensions are compiled. The progress achieved with subgrid scale models and numerics makes the method attractive for investigations in nuclear research and engineering. Applications of both methods of realistic technical flows are discussed. Open problems are mainly related to more general subgrid scale models for large complex containers, to the wall and inlet conditions for high Reynolds number and buoyant flows, and to discretisation schemes for local refinement of spatial resolution. As a classical example for the use of direct simulations, results are presented for a turbulent internally heated horizontal fluid layer. The analysis of the closure terms in the transport equation of the kinetic energy demonstrates major difficulties of the conventional statistical modelling for partially stably stratified convection. © 1999 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Turbulence; Direct numerical simulation; Large eddy simulation; Subgrid scale models; Boundary conditions; Nuclear applications; Buoyant convection; Turbulent diffusion

1. Introduction

In developing better safety features for new reactor concepts, most activity is on thermal fluid dynamics. By introducing passive auxiliary systems, i.e. which can be operated without additional power, one tries to ensure by design measures that the decay heat can be removed by natural convection even in severe failure situations. The features of such new concepts are analysed increasingly by thermal hydraulic codes, and by model experiments of different scales, sometimes up to 1:1.

In this paper we begin with a description of some typical problems in nuclear thermal hydraulics which are dominated by turbulent momentum, heat and mass transfer. The trends become obvious to apply more sophisticated turbulence models in the corresponding numerical analyses. The geometrical and physical complexity of the phenomena to be investigated necessitate more detailed prediction capabilities of the numerical models. Consequently, one finds in the literature growing interest in using and improving the large eddy simulation (LES) method to nuclear problems. Next we give a description of the basics of the methods of direct numerical simulation (DNS) and LES of turbulent flows focusing on recent developments of subgrid scale (SGS) models, boundary conditions and numerics which comes mainly from basic research. More detailed discussions are given in review papers e.g. by Ciofalo, 1994; Ferziger, 1983, 1996; Grötzbach, 1995; Lesieur and Metais, 1996; Mason, 1994; Moin and Mahesh, 1998; Nieuwstadt, 1990; Schumann, 1993. Then, we will dis-

*Corresponding author. E-mail: groetzbach@irs.fzk.de.

cuss some new applications of LES to nuclear problems and point out difficulties of such applications. Subsequently, we will concentrate on analyses related to cooling of core melts inside the pressure vessel and in the core catcher. Finally, we will conclude on further developments which are required to get the methods of DNS and LES more suited for nuclear or other technical applications.

2. Methods and trends in nuclear thermal hydraulics

Some characteristics of nuclear thermal hydraulics indicate the requirements for engineering codes which should be fulfilled for detailed quantitative analyses. The geometries to be considered range from simple channels of different dimensions over open or closed containers to large ones with complex geometrical boundaries. In large containers one has to model forced, mixed and natural convection at large Reynolds (Re) and Rayleigh (Ra) numbers, including flows like jets or mixing layers. The complex internal structures like fuel pin bundles, guiding tubes for internal instrumentation systems and heat exchanger bundles form small gaps which are sometimes treated by porous body models. The channel flows may extend to small Re and Ra. Thus, one finds a wide spectrum of channel dimensions, of characteristic non-dimensional numbers, and flow types in the same application.

Examples are analyses of passive decay heat removal within a complete model of the European Fast Reactor (EFR), Fig. 1, by means of the FLUTAN code by Weinberg et al. (1996), investigations of thermal mixing in the upper plenum of the PHENIX reactor with the TRIO-VF code by Roubin and Astegiano (1997), or investigations of local problems, like the

⁰¹⁴²⁻⁷²⁷X/99/\$ – see front matter © 1999 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved. PII: S 0 1 4 2 - 7 2 7 X (9 9) 0 0 0 1 2 - 0



Fig. 1. Model experiments and measured temperature fields for the buoyant flow along path (2) in an EFR-type reactor (Weinberg et al., 1996). The core consists of electrically heated pin bundles (left) with axial flow; the above core structure (ACS) is a densely packed guiding tube system (right). The cooled water leaves the immersed coolers (IC) in narrow streaks, mixes with the hot fluid from the core outlet and flows down the outer breeder elements. The common cooling path (1) through the intermediate heat exchangers (IHX) is also investigated.

thermal barrier in a pump housing with the N3S code by Archambeau et al. (1997). Most investigations are combined turbulent momentum and heat transfer tasks in three-dimensional geometry.

Typical of nuclear safety investigations is also that one is often faced with exotic or prototypical situations: Prototypical with respect to geometry, type of fluid and flow conditions. Therefore, there exist no validated physical models for such conditions. An important example is the cooling of a molten core inside the vessel of the reactor or in a special core catcher device. It is very hard to perform model experiments with real materials like molten UO₂ or steel, or with multi-phase multicomponent mixtures of these fluids. In any case, one would avoid using real nuclear heating to get internal heat sources for larger amounts of these fluids. Therefore, simple model fluids are used widely applying Joule heating or transient cooling down of the test fluids to mimic the internal heat sources (for an overview of recent experiments see Nourgaliev et al., 1997). As such modelling of internally heated fluid layers hinders detailed local heat transfer and turbulence analyses, we have nearly no turbulence data available to develop and validate modern turbulence models locally. So, rather integral experimental information for this type of flow has to be used in combination with detailed fundamental research experiments for other buoyant flows to validate the models and codes.

The numerical models used in the nuclear field to analyse in detail turbulent heat transfer are based on Reynolds averaged Navier–Stokes equations (RANS). Most applications are run with first order turbulence models, like with variants of the k– ε model and a turbulent Prandtl number concept (Archambeau et al., 1997; Roubin and Astegiano, 1997; Weinberg et al., 1996). Recently, a combination of the k– ε model and a second-order heat flux model was developed for forced and buoyant flows by Carteciano et al. (1997). The model behaved very well in a benchmark in which blind predictions for an experiment were required (Baumann et al., 1997). The problem with the first order models is that one has to classify the practical application into this or that flow type. This is mostly not possible in large containers with internal structures. Therefore, in trying

to achieve more universal models with better prediction capabilities one also considers the application of full second order closure models based on RANS. Current experience with these models is that second order models require very fine grids for practical applications (Archambeau et al., 1997); as a consequence wider applications can only be expected on future parallel high performance computer systems.

For these and other reasons, there is a growing interest in the nuclear field in LES. This method is not based on the timeaveraged equations, but on the local and instantaneous Navier-Stokes equations filtered spatially, typically over grid widths. With this method one simulates the spatially resolved scales of turbulence and uses models only for the non-resolved, hopefully more universal small scales, so-called subgrid scale (SGS) models. As turbulence is time dependent and three dimensional, LES have to be performed always in three dimensions and time dependence. The engineering flow problems in nuclear heat transfer or safety are also mainly three dimensional; and according to the experience by Archambeau et al. (1997), many flows become time dependent even when stationary inlet conditions are used. Therefore, one has anyway to use three-dimensional time-dependent representations of the task, and performing an LES instead of applying a RANSbased first order turbulence model should not result in too excessive extra computing efforts. Breuer et al. (1995) found the LES being a factor of 8 slower than a two-layer $k-\varepsilon$ model for flows around surface-mounted obstacles, but giving much more reliable results and more information; and Ciofalo (1994) found only a factor of 2 compared to a standard $k-\varepsilon$ model for an element of a crossed-corrugated heat exchanger (Ciofalo, 1996). The results of the LES were again superior to the ones of the RANS model.

3. Direct simulation method

The basic equations describing laminar and turbulent flow with heat transfer are the conservation equations for mass, momentum and energy. For a Newtonian fluid with constant material properties, they can be written in a dimensionless form in terms of the primitive variables, i.e. in terms of u_i for the components of the velocity vector (i = 1, 2, 3), p for dynamic pressure, and T for temperature:

$$\partial_i u_i = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\partial_t u_i + \partial_j (u_i, u_j) = \partial_j \left(1/\sqrt{\mathrm{Gr}} \partial_j u_i \right) - \partial_i p - (T_{\mathrm{ref}} - T)g_i / |g|, \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_t T + \partial_j (T u_j) = \partial_j \left(1 / \left(\Pr \sqrt{\mathrm{Gr}} \right) \partial_j T \right) + Q.$$
(3)

The symbols are *t* for time, g_i for components of the gravity vector, Q for dimensionless specific internal heat source, Gr for Grashof number $|g|\beta\Delta T_w D^3/v^2$, β for volumetric expansion coefficient, ΔT_w for difference between wall temperatures, D for channel height, Pr for Prandtl number v/α , v and α for the diffusivities of momentum and heat, respectively, and $T_{\rm ref}$ for reference temperature. The validity of the Boussinesq approximation is assumed in the buoyancy term. Eqs. (1)–(3) are normalised by the channel height D, velocity $u_0 = (|g|\beta\Delta T_w D)^{1/2}$, time D/u_0 , and temperature difference ΔT_w .

 $\Delta T_{\rm w}$. The method of DNS of turbulence is directly based on Eqs. (1)–(3). Since the turbulent fluctuations carrying the kinetic energy are mainly produced at large scales, though this energy is dissipated at small scales, the method has to be based on the full conservation equations for mass, momentum and energy. All scales have to be resolved by the grid, from the largest macroscopic structures of the flow down to the smallest scales of turbulence. The features of turbulence always require solution of these equations in three dimensions in time.

If these requirements are met in the simulations, no SGS models and no wall models are needed. Such simulations do not depend on any model coefficient. The first direct simulations were those by Orszag and Patterson (1972) for decaying isothermal isotropic turbulence, those by Lipps (1976) for weakly turbulent and those by Grötzbach (1982a) for fully turbulent Rayleigh–Bénard convection of air, and those by Kim (1988) for forced convection in channels with heat transfer. Overviews on more recent direct simulations are given by Kasagi (1997) and Moin and Mahesh (1998). Examples for recent simulations of compressible flows are those e.g. by Friedrich and Bertolotti (1997) and of purely buoyant flows by Wörner and Grötzbach (1998).

4. Large eddy simulation method

4.1. Separation of resolved and subgrid scales

To derive equations for LESs, one has to separate the flow variables in a resolved and an unresolved part. This can be done by applying a low-pass filtering procedure as introduced by Leonard (1974) and non-linear or linear filter functions, or by using the formal volume integration method as applied by Schumann (1975). The more general method is the filtering approach, because the actual grid width Δx_j and the width of the filter can be chosen separately (Mason, 1994). The filtered field \overline{f} for a quantity $f = \underline{u}, p, T$ is defined by the spatial filtering procedure:

$$\bar{f}(\underline{x},t) = \int f(\underline{y},t)G(\underline{x}-\underline{y})\,\mathrm{d}\underline{y}.$$
(4)

Here we split the quantity f in its filtered large scale part \overline{f} and in its SGS fluctuation part f' by $f = \overline{f} + f'$. Using nonlinear filter functions $G(\underline{y})$, like the widely applied Gaussian filter, the filtered non-linear terms in the Navier–Stokes and thermal energy equations take the following form:

$$\overline{u_i u_j} = \overline{u_i} \overline{u_j} + \overline{\overline{u_i} u_j'} + \overline{\overline{u_j} u_i'} + \overline{u_i' u_j'}, \tag{5}$$

$$\overline{u_jT} = \overline{u_j}\overline{T} + \overline{\overline{u_j}T'} + \overline{\overline{T}u'_j} + \overline{\overline{u'_jT'}}.$$
(6)

The first term on the right-hand side represents the resolvable part of the instantaneous local turbulent shear stresses and heat fluxes, the last term represents the SGS contributions which have to be modelled. The filtered non-linear terms look formally like the Reynolds terms which are reduced by time averaging. The main difference is that time averaging is replaced by filtering over typical mesh cell volumes V. Therefore, the meaning of the unknown terms is different from those in RANS models: they do not contain the complete momentum and heat exchange due to the turbulent fluctuations, but only that part corresponding to the unresolved small scales. This means, for decreasing grid width and hence for better spatial resolution, the models for the SGS terms have to converge to zero, i.e. to a direct simulation.

The second and third terms on the right-hand side in Eqs. (5) and (6) result from using non-linear filters and contain filtered cross-products between filtered resolved and unresolved quantities. They vanish when linear filters like the box filter are used. Germano (1991) shows that details of the filters are not important compared to the importance of the SGS models. Indeed it was shown on the basis of simulation results for complex channels by Neto et al. (1993) that the cross-products can be neglected. Recently, Härtel and Kleiser (1997) found theoretically that in inhomogeneous flows near walls, the energy transfer between grid scales (GS) and SGS is independent of the type of filter applied. Nevertheless, Ghosal and Moin (1995) developed a filter method with variable filter width to improve accuracy for inhomogeneous flows in complex geometries.

4.2. Subgrid scale models

In LES the dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy is partly in the unresolved scales, whereas the production is in the resolved scales. The SGS models become more important with increasing Reynolds number or increasing grid width, and have to model more of the total dissipation. In the coarse grid limit the SGS models should account for the complete turbulence like the models used for the Reynolds terms. Accordingly, most existing SGS models are formally deduced from statistical models. The main difference is that the length scale is not the mixing length as used in Reynolds models, but it is a representative of the local grid width, because this is a measure for the unresolved turbulent exchange of momentum and heat.

4.2.1. Algebraic SGS models

Most SGS models are first order models because they are based on an eddy diffusivity concept, introducing an effective eddy diffusivity and conductivity for the SGSs:

$$\overline{u_i'u_i'} = 2v_t S_{ii},\tag{7}$$

$$\overline{u_i'T'} = a_i \partial \overline{T} / \partial x_i, \tag{8}$$

where $S_{ij} = 0.5 \left(\partial \overline{u_i} / \partial x_j + \partial \overline{u_j} / \partial x_i \right)$ is the local and instantaneous deformation rate of the resolved flow field.

The first SGS model introduced for the momentum fluxes is the still widely used Smagorinsky model (Smagorinsky, 1963). It incorporates the mean grid width $h = V^{1/3}$ as a length scale for the SGS diffusivity and a coefficient C_S ,

$$v_t = (C_S h)^2 S, \tag{9}$$

where $S = \sqrt{S_{ij}S_{ij}}$. The model was first used by Deardorff (1970) for channel flows. Following the ideas of Schumann (1975), it was later extended by Moin and Kim (1982) to in-

clude the van Driest damping function to reduce SGS contributions near walls. Examples for newer applications to slightly complex flows are those for a cross-corrugated heat exchanger element (Ciofalo, 1996), for the flow around a cylinder to determine the forces on an off-shore platform by Lu et al. (1996), and for a turbulent plane jet by Weinberger et al. (1997).

The Smagorinsky model became more attractive again when Germano et al. (1991) developed a method to calculate dynamically the local coefficient C_s from the actually simulated resolved scales by applying a test filter which is typically two times coarser than the one used to separate the SGS from the GS. This dynamic model gets the SGS contributions down to zero in those areas in which the resolution allows for direct simulations. In principle, this dynamic calculation of the instantaneous model coefficients can be combined with any SGS model. An analysis of the dynamic Smagorinsky model on anisotropic grids was performed by Scotti et al. (1997). An anisotropic dynamic model in tensor form was developed by Abbà et al. (1995) to improve the accuracy in channel flows near walls.

Further improvements can be achieved by introducing backscatter of kinetic energy from the small scales to the large scales, which was found to occur within the buffer layer in plane channel flows, e.g. by Piomelli et al. (1990), or in stably stratified fluid layers, e.g. by Kaltenbach et al. (1991). It is argued that in such cases a stochastic backscatter is necessary (Mason, 1994). There exist proposals for backscatter models in Härtel and Kleiser (1994), Leith (1990), Mason and Thomson (1992) and Schumann (1993). Successful applications of dynamic SGS models are numerous, e.g. those by Breuer and Rodi (1994) and by Jones and Wille (1996). There seem to occur some problems with coarse grids and large Reynolds numbers (see Sullivan and Moeng, 1992) and with transitional flow in flat plate boundary layers (see Voke et al., 1996). Combinations of dynamic models with backscatter also exist, e.g. the model by Mason included in the code comparison of Nieuwstadt et al. (1993). Such comparisons as well as the one by Jones and Wille (1996) and especially the experience by Breuer (1997) with applications to the flow past a cylinder indicate that for practical high Reynolds number LES the influence of changing the type of a model is considerably less than of changing the spatial discretisation scheme.

4.2.2. Transport equation-based SGS models

More sophisticated first order models aim to provide some decoupling of the SGSs from the local grid scales in time or in space. Examples for one-equation models based on a transport equation for the kinetic energy in the SGSs are the one for channel flows at high Reynolds numbers (Schumann, 1975), or that for moderate Reynolds numbers including rough walls (Grötzbach and Schumann, 1977). Those authors use the theory of isotropic turbulence to calculate all local coefficients of their models. The calculation accounts for the local grid details including the actual finite difference approximation, the resolution capability and the grid anisotropy. Such one-equation models allow treatment of backscatter in a natural manner. Corresponding methods and tests are given by Ghosal et al. (1995) and Davidson (1997).

Full second order models do not apply Eqs. (7) and (8), but solve modelled transport equations for the cross-correlation terms. Such a model was developed and used by Deardorff (1973). From the knowledge and computing capabilities available at that time, he concluded that full second order SGS models do not give any advantage over simpler models. Just recently, Fureby et al. (1997) reconsidered a simplified version of Deardorff's model. Contrary to Deardorff, they found major advantages of their second order model regarding the treatment of turbulence anisotropy in channel flows near walls. Their promising model does not need any information on the distance to the next wall.

A good compromise between first and second order modelling was found e.g. by Schemm and Lipps (1976), Sommeria (1976) and Schmidt and Schumann (1989). They use algebraic simplifications of the second order equations together with a one- or two-equation model. These models, which reproduce meteorological flows quite well, are the counterparts to the socalled algebraic stress models (ASM) in Reynolds modelling.

4.2.3. Subgrid scale heat flux models

The turbulent SGS heat fluxes, Eq. (8), are often calculated by using a turbulent Prandtl number Pr_{rSGS} for the SGSs:

$$a_t = v_t / \Pr_{tSGS}.$$
 (10)

The values to be used for Pr_{tSGS} are about 0.4 (see for e.g. Ciofalo, 1994; Deardorff, 1973; Grötzbach and Schumann, 1977). The values have to be modified slightly when e.g. backscatter models are included (Schumann, 1993). The small value means that at small scales the transfer of heat is much more intensive than that of momentum. It is found for fluids with molecular Prandtl numbers of about one that modifications of the value of Pr_{tSGS} do not change simulation results too much for forced flows at large Reynolds numbers (Grötzbach and Schumann, 1977). This approach is often used in meteorology, e.g. by Deardorff (1972) and Moeng (1984), and also in applications of LES to technical flow problems, e.g. by Ciofalo and Collins (1992), Neto et al. (1993), Murakami et al. (1994), Voke and Gao (1994), Braun and Neumann (1996) and Grand et al. (1997).

More complicated SGS heat flux models also exist, similar to the first order and second order SGS shear stress models discussed above. The conclusions on the necessity and relevance of these models are the same, because all of these investigations, except Fureby et al. (1997) and Schumann (1975), were performed for flows with heat transfer. Careful comparisons of four codes using different SGS models and different numerics show that their results agree quite well for simple meteorological conditions (Nieuwstadt et al. 1993); this points to the robustness and universality achieved currently with the method of LES at least for simple meteorological conditions.

The influence of the type of fluid, i.e. the molecular Prandtl number Pr, needs special discussion. The form of the spectra of temperature fluctuations at small scales strongly depends on the Prandtl number. For highly viscous fluids, that is for large values of Pr, the temperature spectra extend to much smaller scales than the velocity spectra, whereas fluids with small values of Pr, e.g. liquid metals, effectively filter off small scale fluctuations so that the smallest scales in the temperature field are much larger than in the velocity field. This behaviour could be treated by applying the dynamic filtering of Germano to the heat flux model (see for e.g. Ciofalo, 1994) and with the self-adaptive SGS heat flux model of Grötzbach (1981). The latter uses a one-equation model in combination with the extended theory of Schumann (1975) to calculate the model coefficients by using the theory of isotropic turbulence and the local value of the time-averaged dissipation $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$. This model and the calculation of the coefficients were successfully used to perform simulations for forced convection in plane channels and annuli at Reynolds numbers from some 10⁴ to some 10^5 and for fluids with Prandtl numbers from 0.007 to 7 (Grötzbach, 1981, 1987). This theory, applied to the SGS shear stress and heat flux models, is also used to judge in advance on the suitability of grids for adequate simulations (Grötzbach, 1983, 1987). This SGS model was extended for stably stratified flows by applying modified length scales (Seiter, 1995).

4.2.4. Other subgrid scale treatment

The SGS models discussed above are applied in physical space. Models were also developed for spectral methods; they model the SGS contributions in wave number space. Such models, like that by Chollet and Lesieur (1981), are based on the Eddy Damped Quasi Normal Markovian (EDQNM) theory by Kraichnan (1976). Because of their complexity from the point of view of an engineer these models are not widely used. Such models were e.g. applied to study the diffusion in isotropic turbulence (Lesieur and Rogallo, 1989) or by Naitoh and Kuwahara (1992) to study the flow in a cylinder of a piston engine. A version for applications in physical space is the structure function model by Normand and Lesieur (1992). The selective structure function model is applicable to highly intermittent, transitional, and separated flows, and the filtered structure function model to spatially developing flows. These models, which were also extended for irregular grids, are now more often used; applications are given in the overviews (Grand et al., 1997; Lesieur and Metais, 1996).

All SGS models, which were discussed above, explicitly introduce physical assumptions to model the momentum and heat fluxes by the unresolved scales. To minimise numerical diffusion, one usually uses numerical discretisation methods in space which are of second or fourth order. There are also methods which do not involve SGS models. Instead, the numerical scheme has sufficient numerical diffusion or damping to mimic the effective diffusion due to the SGSs. In using third order upwind schemes, like the one introduced by Kawamura (1985), one can successfully simulate many turbulent flows without using SGS models, like the impinging wall jet by Muramatsu (1993) and the flow around and through cars by Hashiguchi (1996). Similarly Boris et al. (1992) found that the Piecewise Parabolic Method (PPM) also has intrinsic SGS features. Of course, the numerical diffusion does not always sufficiently meet the requirements of the SGSs; therefore, all results gained from such pseudo-direct simulations have to be checked carefully.

5. Boundary conditions

Formulation of boundary conditions for DNS or LES is a more serious problem than with Reynolds models, because one needs time-dependent wall conditions and inlet or outlet conditions which account for realistic local turbulent fluctuations.

No-slip wall conditions and prescribed wall heat fluxes or surface temperatures can be discretised without further approximations when the viscous and conductive wall layers are resolved by the grid. Corresponding spatial resolution criteria were specified and tested from an engineering point of view (Grötzbach, 1983, 1987). To analyse terms of statistical models near walls from the results of direct simulations, much finer grids are required than the specified two to three mesh cells to be used in the viscous and conductive wall layers. At large turbulence levels and with coarse grids near walls, suitable time-dependent formulations of wall laws have to be applied. Methods for high Reynolds number flows are the one by Schumann (1975) for shear stresses and by Grötzbach and Schumann (1977) for heat fluxes at different Prandtl numbers and wall roughness. Universal extensions for pure buoyant convection can hardly be determined (Seiter, 1995). These approximations relate the instantaneous local shear stresses and heat fluxes to the velocity and temperature fluctuations in the next fluid mesh cell by using time-averaged wall laws. Therefore, this approach is only applicable to fully developed statistically stationary flow. The same restriction holds also for the two-layer model by Manhart and Wengle (1993) which uses a power law velocity distribution. Other approximations

were developed, but it turned out to give no significant improvement in practical applications (Ciofalo, 1994).

Channels with an inlet and an outlet require specifications for all physical variables for every mesh cell on such a boundary at each time. Currently no sufficient theoretical tools are available to formulate such boundary conditions for realistic turbulent flows. Therefore, periodic boundary conditions are applied in the mean flow direction when ever possible. When real inlet and outlet conditions have to be considered, approximations have to be chosen.

For the inlet, several procedures of different complexity were applied, depending on the physical problem to be considered. Surle et al. (1993) used constant values at the inlet. Constant radial profiles from separate calculations with a Reynolds model were taken in Muramatsu (1993). To get timedependent inlet values Dai et al. (1994) used constant values superposed by sinusoidal fluctuations, and Neto et al. (1993) superposed fluctuations with white noise features. Some methods to access the tremendous problems with such conditions are discussed in a recent overview (Moin and Mahesh, 1998). When real turbulent inlet signals are required, the only available solution is to perform a separate DNS or LES for a periodic channel with roughly the required flow parameters and store the results for one plane perpendicular to the mean flow direction at each time step. These data are used as timedependent inlet conditions. Applications of this method are reported e.g. in Breuer and Rodi (1994), Murakami et al. (1994) and Voke and Gao (1994). By analysing a turbulent plane jet, the latter method was found superior to using artificial inlet conditions (Weinberger et al., 1997).

Approximations for the outlet include assuming that all gradients normal to the outflow surface are zero. This works roughly with incompressible flows (see Schmitt and Friedrich, 1987). However, it might become problematic due to reflections in case of compressible flows. The more accepted method is to apply a convective outflow condition, like in Boris et al. (1992), but serious problems were reported from applications to backward facing steps by Bärwolff et al. (1996) and to mixing chambers by Moin and Kravchenko (1998). Both apply additional buffer regions to uncouple the upstream area from the distortions at the outlet. Non-reflecting new outlet conditions were developed (Abbà et al., 1995).

6. Numerics

So far it looks like the progress of LES towards engineering applications would strongly depend on the availability of adequate SGS models and boundary conditions. In fact, real retardation comes mainly from the numerics because one needs the combination of accurate discretisation and integration schemes in combination with large numerical efficiency, especially as regards the solvers for the system of equations. The solvers are the dominant part which in complex geometries determine the computational costs. They are not discussed here, because they require a separate careful evaluation.

Accurate discretisation and solution algorithms are required to solve the basic equations or the filtered equations including the respective SGS models in space and time. Of course, spectral schemes are very accurate, and are therefore used for simulations of the transition from laminar to turbulent flow in channels, see for e.g. Kleiser and Zang (1991) and the comparison by Schumann et al. (1980). However, they cannot be applied to an arbitrary complex geometry. For simple channels they are often used in combination with finite difference schemes, like in the models or applications by Kim (1988), Lesieur and Rogallo (1989) and Moeng (1984). Thus, for engineering applications one has to rely on finite volume or finite element-based discretisations.

For spatial discretisation, mainly finite difference and finite volume schemes are used. They are efficient and flexible, especially for structured non-orthogonal grids (Ciofalo et al., 1993; Breuer and Rodi, 1994). Higher order schemes have been shown to need less nodes than lower order schemes to gain the same spatial resolution of the smallest scales (Moin and Mahesh, 1998). The order of the schemes must be even to have small numerical diffusion. The numerical diffusion must be much smaller than the diffusion introduced by the SGS model. This obviously holds only for the fourth and high order schemes, but the accuracy of the lower order schemes can be improved by using pre-filtering with a filter width of $2\Delta x$ (Moin and Kravchenko, 1998). Third order schemes are widely used in Japan; their inherent numerical diffusion mimics SGS features implicitly and without any control, see remarks above. They were recently extended to a multi-directional finite difference scheme for skewed flow (Hashiguchi, 1996). Among the upwind schemes, the second order QUICK scheme has unacceptable strong diffusive features (Breuer and Rodi, 1994). Higher order upwind-biased schemes, which are designed to have reduced aliasing, are even worse than second order central schemes (Kravchenko and Moin, 1997). In comparing central and upwind schemes of several orders for the flow past a cylinder, strong influences of the scheme were found e.g. on the separation length and on the turbulence level (Boris et al., 1992). It is concluded that for an LES the numerical dissipation produced by a scheme is more crucial than its formal order of accuracy, and these influences are much stronger than those from using different SGS models.

More efficient spatial discretisation in critical areas, e.g. in the layer near solid surfaces, is recently achieved with finite volume schemes by introducing local grid refinement methods. Deck (1995) used it to resolve the details of the flow around a square cylinder and Fureby et al. (1997) in the sublayer of a channel flow. Multi-block grids are realised in the pseudo-DNS which are extensively used in the Japanese automotive industry for the flow around cars, through the interior, or through the engine compartment (Hashiguchi, 1996). Moving multi-block grids are applied on curvilinear coordinates for pseudo-DNS of the vortex formation in a 4-valve piston engine by Meinke et al. (1998). The step to use hybrid unstructured grids is also gone by Ducros et al. (1997) in an LES of a pipe flow. Zonal grid embedding by using B-splines looks promising because it is of high accuracy order and it conserves energy even for incompressible flows, but currently it seems to require too much computational effort (Moin and Kravchenko, 1998).

The finite element method is the most flexible, but a less computationally efficient method to discretise spatially a complex flow domain. The large flexibility to concentrate the elements where they are needed lets one expect to get practically efficient and accurate simulations. The method was used with a Smagorinsky model for an unsteady wake behind a cylinder by Kato and Ikegawa (1991). Special test filters were developed to make the method applicable with the dynamical model on parallel computers and LES-suited mesh generators (see Jansen, 1996). An application of the finite element-based multi-purpose code N3S to the square cylinder benchmark is evaluated by Rodi et al. (1997).

For integration in time, schemes are required for the convective terms which are at least of second order. A DNS as well as an LES has to capture all resolved fluctuations in time, because one does not want to filter off the highest spatially resolved frequencies. Therefore, usually all convective terms are treated by explicit methods, like by the Euler-leapfrog method or the Adams–Bashforth scheme. The Courant stability criterion ensures a sufficient resolution in time.

Nevertheless, there are reasons to use implicit schemes for some terms. With DNS, one uses fine grids near walls, but there the viscous terms become dominant over the convective terms. When the diffusion terms are treated explicitly, their stability limit strongly reduces the time step width. This is avoided by using implicit time integration of the diffusion terms like the Crank-Nicholson scheme (Kim, 1988). The same arguments hold for the energy equation, especially with liquid metals; there, it is only the implicit treatment of the thermal diffusion which enables such simulations on current computer systems (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1992). Another example is the implicit treatment of terms with azimuthal transport in pipe flows because the azimuthal grid width goes to zero near the axis, whereas the others remain finite and determine the resolved scales (Eggels et al., 1994). Sometimes even the convective terms are treated implicitly in LES when one accepts to lose the highest resolvable frequencies only locally in those areas in which the grid is locally refined (Ciofalo, 1996; Moin and Mahesh, 1998).

7. Some reactor-related simulations

As in other research and application fields, there were large expectations regarding the possibilities of DNS and LES in nuclear thermal hydraulics. From a methodological point of view and from the rapidly increasing computational power, one could expect around 1990 that it should be possible and reasonable to modify newer multi-purpose codes to analyse prototypical three-dimensional time-dependent engineering problems by means of LES (Grötzbach, 1990). And after first promising results in several groups, in 1995 even expectations were formulated that this could also be a method to access two-phase flow problems (Hassan et al., 1995).

7.1. Applications of increasing complexity

7.1.1. Channel and bundle flows

Going back to the roots of LES in Europe, one should remember that Schumann started his developments in 1970 in the Institute for Reactor Development at the Nuclear Research Centre Karlsruhe, now Institute for Reactor Safety. After having set up a model and code basis, we were rather early using our first LES, and later also our DNS, to investigate problems motivated by actual nuclear applications. Of course, for a long time, these investigations were bound to simple geometries. e.g., LES were used to study pressure fluctuationinduced forces on the inner rod in axial forced flow through an annulus, to study anisotropic eddy diffusivities in channels with secondary currents or spanwise thermal inhomogeneity and to clarify the principal mixing capabilities in a strongly buoyancy influenced vertical flow in the downcomer of the HDR-reactor. Combined LES of the momentum field and DNS of the thermal field helped to improve eddy conductivity concepts for liquid metal forced convection in channels. Compilations and discussions of this work are given in Grötzbach (1987) and Schumann et al. (1980).

Recent LES in the literature are of increasing geometrical complexity. By using an LES for two parallel rectangular channels coupled by a narrow gap Biemüller et al. (1996) could show experimentally and by LES, Fig. 2, coherent periodic vortices travel along the gap causing these systematic fluctuations. This simple model geometry helped to understand the periodic velocity and pressure fluctuations found in axial flow through densely packed pin bundles. Investigations for heat exchanger tube bundles in cross-flow are performed by Hassan and Lee (1993). For single pins they determined the spectra of the drag and lift forces from 2d and 3d simulations by using



Fig. 2. Flow in *x*-direction in parallel channels coupled by a narrow gap of width *g* (left bottom) (Biemüller et al., 1996). The measured and simulated distributions of the streamwise turbulence intensity inside the channel are different because periodic boundary conditions are used in the *y*-direction in the LES instead of no-slip conditions. The peak of the measured turbulence intensity (left top) at the edge of the gap is reproduced by the LES with TURBIT (right top). The strong fluctuations are caused by regular vortices in the x-y-plane moving downstream in the gap (right bottom).

the GUST code. Recent simulations for bundle flows and comparisons between RANS models and LES are presented by Laurence (1998).

7.1.2. Convection in pools

The DNSs in the nuclear field concentrated, as in other fields, on providing data for statistical turbulence models, here especially for buoyant convection. The first applications were motivated by core catcher investigations for Fast Breeder Reactors (Grötzbach, 1982b). Results of recent simulations for internally heated fluid layers will be discussed later. The simulations for Rayleigh-Bénard convection first focused on learning to perform adequate DNS (Grötzbach, 1983), because this convection type is experimentally well investigated, in contrast to the internally heated fluid layer. Later simulations aimed at investigating and improving statistical turbulence models for pure buoyant convection. The temperature variance equation was analysed for air (Grötzbach and Wörner, 1992) and for sodium (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1994), the transport equation for the destruction of temperature variances for both fluids (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1996) and the heat flux budget (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1993a). The terms in

the kinetic energy equation were analysed (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1993b) and the differences of the pressure transport in Rayleigh–Bénard and internally heated convection layers (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1998). Some of the analyses resulted in proposals for model improvements, like for the dissipation by Ye et al. (1997), some were realised in the multipurpose code FLUTAN (Carteciano et al., 1997). Turbulence data for calibration of second order turbulence models are also provided by pseudo-direct simulations with FLOW-3d for a cubical box (Nourgaliev and Dinh, 1997).

7.1.3. Thermal striping

Meanwhile, multi-purpose codes are available which are extended for LES. Thus, the geometrical complexity of the investigated problems increases. A series of separate effect analyses for isolated problems from complex nuclear flows are performed with the TRIO-VF code and variants of the structure function model (Grand et al., 1997; Lesieur and Metais, 1996). These investigations mainly concentrate on the thermal interaction of temperature fluctuations with solid structures (thermal striping). This is a serious problem in large reactor systems because it may limit the lifetime of components by thermal fatigue. Coherent vortices are simulated in the mixing layer behind a backward facing step in Neto et al. (1993). Fallon et al. (1997) investigate the influence of thermal stratification on the formation or suppression of these vortices. A jet impinging on a wall is investigated with the Smagorinsky model (Voke and Gao, 1994) and with the aid of the third order upwind scheme with the AQUA code (Muramatsu, 1993). The mixing in round jets as a model to mimic the exit from single subassemblies at the core outlet is investigated with the filtered and selective structure function model with the TRIO-VF code and a special purpose code (Urbin et al., 1997). Good agreement between the results of both codes was found except for steeper spectra from TRIO, because with this code coarser grids have to be used. The transfer conditions of special model experiments with model fluids to sodium conditions were also analysed with TRIO (Tenchine and Moro, 1997) and good agreement between measured and simulated temperature fluctuations was found.

For a more quantitative analysis of thermal striping, it is necessary to treat the turbulent convective heat transfer coupled with the thermal conduction in the solid structures. This is achieved in the applications by Ushijima and Tanaka (1993) to the upper plenum flow including the instrumentation plug, in the applications of the finite element code N3S using a dynamic Smagorinsky model to the flow in the thermal barrier in a pump shaft (Archambeau et al., 1997), and in the applications of the STAR-CD code using unstructured grids and no SGS model to the flow through a T-junction (Fig. 3, Simoneau et al., 1997). Of course, with this task LES is superior to any RANS-based calculation because the dynamics of interest are a result of the large scale structures and are without additional modelling a direct outcome of a simulation.

Thermal striping investigations for the upper plenum with its complex internal structures are currently at the limit of the computational possibilities. The simpler model experiment CORMORAN was investigated with TRIO with the $k-\varepsilon$ model and with the structure function model (Surle et al., 1993). This holds also for the upper plenum simulation for the PHENIX reactor, Fig. 4, in which every subassembly exit was resolved with a grid of 233,000 cells, except that the selective structure function model is applied (Roubin and Astegiano, 1997). The time mean results of the statistical and LES modelling types agree well, but the LES offers additional data on the temperature fluctuations as they are necessary for the designer, Fig. 5.

7.1.4. Two-phase flows

Two-phase or multi-phase flows are those with the highest geometrical and physical complexity. In nuclear engineering and safety they occur frequently, in particle or gas transport in fluids, in phase change phenomena by freezing or boiling, in stratified liquid pools with free surfaces or floating crusts, up to the challenging problem of jet fragmentation and droplet formation combined with the rapid heat transfer and phase change which may lead to vapour explosions. There is work going on to improve current methods or to develop new ones treating the interfacial phenomena explicitly.

Some applications, in which turbulence has to be included, belong to the area of flows with homogeneously distributed separated phases. The common tools for such flows are multifield multi-fluid models assuming interpenetrating fields. Turbulence modelling is widely done by zero-dimensional physical models; this means, the interfacial momentum, heat and mass transfer is modelled by friction factors, Nusselt numbers and Sherwood numbers, respectively. This modelling becomes inadequate at higher void fractions when the topology of the phases develops towards heterogeneous distributions. Similarly, the treatment of turbulence at large scale interfaces is up to now included only in the form of such simple models. The use of standard RANS based turbulence models is hindered by the poor knowledge basis we have on turbulence in two-phase flows. Thus, progress is mandatory and there is a good chance for the DNS and LES community to fill this tremendous gap and to provide methods for detailed local analyses in multi-phase flows. However, as the problem is challenging, we are still at the beginning of a long development, and the following literature does not always belong to the nuclear field.

Simulations for dispersed phases started early in the history of LES with studies of the transport of passive particles in channels (Deardorff and Peskin, 1970). Recent work on par-



Fig. 3. Temperature fluctuations by sodium mixing in a T-junction simulated by pseudo-DNS with STAR-CD (Simoneau et al., 1997). Reliable temperature fluctuation predictions require accurate reproductions of the fluid and solid domains, e.g. by unstructured grids.



Fig. 4. Close-up view on PHENIX core outlet zone, computational domain and inlet velocity conditions in m/s. An LES with TRIO-VF by Roubin and Astegiano (1997) records each fuel element exit. Compare Fig. 1 to locate the ACS.



Fig. 5. Isolines of standard deviations of temperature fluctuations indicated by colours and numbers; values up to 19.6 K occur at the radial edge of the grid plane, at vertical position Y = 0.305 m; analysed from an LES with TRIO-VF (Roubin and Astegiano, 1997). Isotherm spacing 0.4.

ticle dispersion in channel flows is e.g. by Dehning (1993) using a one transport equation SGS model. Now, somewhat more complex flows are possible and Chen and Pereira (1996) study with the structure function model the particle dispersion in a plane mixing layer. Such numerical investigations require DNS or LES because of the flow instabilities. Similarly, Maxey and Chang (1996) treat micro-bubbles passively, this means the bubbles have no consequences on the velocity field. Those authors study by DNS and an Eulerian treatment of the bubbles how small scale vortices of isotropic turbulence interact with the bubbles and modify their mean rise velocity.

Simulations of turbulence at free interfaces is a growing subject. The interfaces in the first simulations for sheared and unsheared flow are kept plane (see Banerjee, 1994; Lombardi et al., 1995). The investigations concentrate on the turbulence statistics in the boundary layers near interfaces and on the mechanisms causing these statistics. For the sheared cases situations are found similar to the ones near solid walls with ejection and sweep cycles, whereas for unsheared cases long living roughly two-dimensional upwellings are observed. Work on wave formation is discussed by De Angelis and Banerjee (1998).

Development of methods for direct and LESs of turbulent bubbly flows are ongoing in the authors' team. The basic ideas of LES are applied to the turbulence in the liquid phase and also to the phase topology in dispersed two-phase flows. Thus, in a separated phase treatment the large scale interfaces will be resolved and calculated by adequate numerical schemes, whereas the small scale part will be treated by an interfacial area concentration equation. Accordingly, the interfacial exchange terms consist of resolved parts and SGS parts. In a first step we consider DNS and LES of bubbly flows in simple geometries. Finally, the method will be implemented in a code for technical applications. This work is based on supporting experiments on statistical and local turbulence in bubbly flows (Cherdron et al., 1998).

So far we saw that DNS and especially LES is increasingly supplementing research engineers in the nuclear field in those areas in which statistical models have serious problems with accuracy, reliability, prediction capabilities or even fail from a methodological point of view. This holds mainly for three-dimensional time-dependent flows in complex geometries and with local instabilities. All investigators state that the larger computational expense for LES is compensated by the gain of more information from the simulations.

7.2. Experiences and open problems

The SGS models for nuclear flows, especially in large components, should be as universal as possible. Existing models reach a high degree of perfection at low Reynolds numbers, but at Reynolds numbers and flow types of interest it is found necessary to choose certain models. For example, Voke et al. (1996) prefer the Smagorinsky model with manually adapted local coefficients for a transitional stratified flat plate boundary layer, and Fallon et al. (1997) prefer the selective structure function model for a backward facing step. Barsamian and Hassan (1994) decided to develop a new modelling of the filtered cross-products for cross-flow through heat exchanger bundles. Thus, models which have no wall distances as length scales, as the one in Fureby et al. (1997) are not yet common and need further assessment.

The wall condition formulation should not depend on the type of flow. For high Reynolds numbers we still have to use modelled wall conditions in which the wall shear is approximated instead of using the no-slip condition. All wall laws hold only for fully developed statistically stationary forced flows. Therefore, wall approximations cannot be applied to separated flows (Fallon et al., 1997). On the other hand, there is a small sensitivity of the results against the type of wall condition applied even in geometrically determined flows (Ciofalo, 1996). If the tendency towards transport equation SGS models holds, the problem with the wall conditions will grow because wall conditions for higher order models can hardly be formulated. Thus, a solution can only come from the numerical side, i.e. to use efficient discretisation methods, e.g. with local grid refinement or with unstructured grids, which allow the resolution of the viscous and thermal wall layers.

Finite channels with a turbulent inlet flow need meaningful time-dependent turbulence data at the inlet plane. This is found in many investigations to be a sensitive requirement (see e.g. Simoneau et al., 1995, 1997; Weinberger et al., 1997). Existing approximate methods do not seem to get rid of the problem (Moin and Mahesh, 1998). Thus, the only possible, but expensive solution is still to use simulation data from a separate simulation with periodic boundary conditions for a

similar flow. The outlet conditions are less serious, but need some more improvements.

The spatial discretisation schemes should show very small numerical diffusion and should allow for an accurate and efficient discretisation of the geometry. Regarding numerical diffusion and accuracy, many of the single-purpose simulation codes reach a very high academic standard, but this cannot be expected from the robust multi-purpose codes. The quite common QUICK scheme turns out to be inappropriate to LES; it damps out all turbulence (Boris et al., 1992). And second order central schemes do not always guarantee sufficiently small numerical diffusion, as is shown by the pseudodirect simulations with the STAR-CD code (Simoneau et al., 1997). So, one has to expect that the coefficients of SGS models have to be modified dependent on the discretisation and integration schemes actually used in the codes. On the other hand, the large flexibility and accuracy to correctly reproduce complex geometries is an advantage of the new code generation with unstructured grids. Convincing examples are those by Simoneau et al. (1997) who use STAR-CD for a T-junction, Fig. 3, those first demonstrations of the capabilities of TRIO-U, but obviously in the structured mode by Barsamian et al. (1998), or those with the finite element code N3S (Archambeau et al., 1997). Proceeding to unstructured grids needs special care with the widely used dynamic model because extended test filters have to be applied (Jansen, 1996).

Time integration schemes should be applied so that the Courant number is below one in most areas, because otherwise spatially resolvable high-frequent fluctuations are filtered out. Courant numbers slightly above one may only be acceptable locally, where grids are strongly refined (Ciofalo, 1996). As implicit time integration schemes are found in most multipurpose codes, it is left to the user to choose the adequate Courant number range; the large damping found with the STAR-CD code may come from allowing Courant numbers up to 10 (Simoneau et al., 1997).

The solution algorithms and solvers used in such codes are optimised for large robustness, often at the expense of efficiency. On the other hand, an LES needs about the same spatial discretisation as a good RANS calculation, but it needs more time steps (Roubin and Astegiano, 1997). With the current computer generation, we are at the limits of what can be realised with RANS models. Thus, many users try to simplify the problem when it shall be investigated also by LES.

Using coarser grids is a dangerous simplification, because multi-purpose codes have non-negligible numerical diffusion. This may lead on coarse grids to time-independent results, as observed with the first T-junction calculations (Simoneau et al., 1995). A reduction of the problem to two dimensions is also found; e.g. the cross-flow simulations for heat exchanger bundles with the GUST code are performed in 2d (Barsamian and Hassan, 1997), Fig. 6. Such simulations should be interpreted with special care because 2d and 3d turbulence behave differently; e.g., 3d treatment is necessary even when the mean flow stays rather 2d in the transitional flow behind a circular cylinder (Boris et al., 1992).

Symmetry is also sometimes assumed as a measure to save CPU time. All upper plenum simulations were performed with azimuthal symmetry, e.g. with 60° symmetry (Ushijima and Tanaka, 1993), 90° symmetry (Roubin and Astegiano, 1997) Fig. 4, and 180° symmetry (Tenchine and Moro, 1997). From these simulations, as well as from the results for the flat plate boundary layer (Voke et al., 1996) it is concluded that the symmetry assumption leads to insufficient results. The only rule which can be given here is the periodicity or symmetry length chosen must be much larger than the macroscopic length scale of the flow (Grötzbach, 1983, 1987).



Fig. 6. Time mean streamlines in bundle cross flow (from top to bottom) analysed from a 2d-LES with GUST (Barsamian and Hassan, 1997).

8. Contributions by DNS to cooling of core melts

In giving some example results we come back to the classical field for DNS applications in simple geometries, i.e. the analysis of turbulence data for flows or for parameter ranges for which no other sources of data are available to improve statistical turbulence models.

8.1. Problem

Single-phase convective cooling of an internally heated fluid was intensively investigated by model experiments in 1970s for fast breeder reactors; now, for new water cooled reactors, the parameter range has to be extended to larger Rayleigh numbers $Ra = g\beta QD^5/(v\alpha\lambda)$ and other geometry. In addition, detailed turbulence data are needed to provide adequate computational tools. An overview of experiments is given by Nourgaliev et al. (1997). The experiments mainly aim at determining the heat fluxes across the boundaries, dependent on the Rayleigh number and surface position. Temperature data are seldom provided; turbulence data were only determined by Kikuchi et al. (1982, 1986) for the untypical case with an adiabatic lower boundary.

The first consistent set of turbulence data for this flow were provided by direct simulations for a periodic channel with small horizontal extensions (Grötzbach, 1982b, 1987). They show that the lower 80% of the layer is stably stratified and about half the height therein is dominated by a counter gradient heat flux. Thus, statistical turbulence models using gradient assumptions are not adequate for this type of flow; this was also confirmed by practical applications of such models by Dinh and Nourgaliev (1997). Turbulence data for calibration of second order turbulence models are also provided by those simulations, as well as by pseudo-direct simulations with FLOW-3d for a cubical box (Nourgaliev and Dinh, 1997). The earlier simulations are verified by a simulation in a channel with large horizontal extension (Grötzbach, 1989). These results, and those by the LES in Seiter (1995) confirm that this flow shows the uncommon feature of a decreasing macroscopic wavelength with increasing Rayleigh number. This allows for a reduction in the size of the computational domain and consequently for finer grids at large Ra.

Recent DNS reach Rayleigh numbers as high as $Ra = 10^9$, and are therefore suited to analyse higher order turbulence models. The mechanisms in the flow are investigated by Schmidt et al. (1997) and their interaction with the pressure diffusion by Wörner and Grötzbach (1998). The following analysis of the *k*-equation is taken from the detailed statistical analyses by Wörner et al. (1997). Most of the statistical results of this simulation series are included in the WWW databank (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1997).

8.2. Case specifications

The convection in an internally heated fluid layer is characterised by the Rayleigh number $Ra = Gr \cdot Pr$, the Prandtl number and Damköhler number $Da = QD^2/(\lambda\Delta T_{max})$, where Q is the specific internal heat source and ΔT_{max} is the maximum temperature difference between fluid and wall. For normalisation we use the channel height D, the velocity scale $u_0 = (g\beta\Delta T_{max}D)^{1/2}$ and the temperature scale ΔT_{max0} . As a result, we get the non-dimensional equations (1)–(3) in which the dimensionless heat source is equal to $Da_0/(Pr Gr^{1/2})$. To get the non-dimensional temperature maximum close to 1, Da_0 is determined from the energy balance $Da_0 = Nu_b + Nu_t$ by initial guesses for the Nusselt numbers at top and bottom. Here we consider the model fluid water, Pr = 7, in the fully turbulent regime at $Ra = 10^8$. Following experimental correlations for Nu, we choose $Da_0 = 35$ for this Rayleigh number. The bottom and top wall are kept at Temperature $T_w = 0$.

Sufficient experience and some experimental information is available to specify adequate grids and to validate the results (Grötzbach, 1987, 1989). Nevertheless, an extensive discretisation study was performed investigating all spatial parameters including theoretical expressions for smooth vertical grid width distributions (Schmidt et al., 1997). We use $X_{1,2} = 4$ for the horizontal extensions of the control volume to which periodic boundary conditions are applied. The numbers of mesh cells are $N_{1,2} = 160$ and $N_3 = 55$. The vertical grid widths are $\Delta x_{3wt} = 0.0057$ and $\Delta x_{3wb} = 0.012$.

The simulation with the computer code TURBIT was started on a coarser grid from artificial initial values with zero velocities, with a roughly trapezoidal vertical mean temperature profile, and with random temperature fluctuations superimposed on it. When the simulation reached a steady state in a statistical sense, the results were interpolated to a finer grid. After repeating this procedure, the simulation was continued on the finest grid to obtain results for a certain time interval in which the flow is statistically stationary and which can be used for statistical analysis.

8.3. Flow structures

The temperature profile has the minima at both walls and a maximum near the upper wall, Fig. 7. This indicates the following mechanisms: The fluid is stably stratified throughout the channel height except for the thin upper layer with cold, heavier fluid. From this layer plumes or thermals develop due to Rayleigh–Taylor instability which move cold fluid into the heated core.

The isosurface for a temperature value of T=0.88 is given in Fig. 8 for an arbitrary time. It shows in only 1/4 of the



Fig. 7. Vertical profile of the time-mean temperature for internally heated convection, $Ra = 10^8$, X_3 is the distance from the lower wall.

computational domain the principal phenomena and intermittent character of this flow. The isosurface shows, T = 0.88 is found very near the upper cold wall, because the boundary layer is very thin there, and this value is also found in a larger distance to the lower cold wall, because the boundary layer is thick there. From the temperature profile it is known that hotter fluid is between both isosurfaces. The upper and lower isosurfaces are connected by some plume-like structures in which cold fluid is plunging very fast downward. Plumes with downward movement concentrate in knot-like structures. The plumes are horizontally connected by thin spoke patterns which are formed by cold slowly downward moving fluid. The



Fig. 8. Isosurface for instantaneous temperature T = 0.88, colour code for dynamic pressure, $Ra = 10^8$. One quarter of the horizontal cross-section is only shown. The edges of the lower and upper walls are indicated by thin straight lines.

spoke patterns exist only in a thin near-wall layer. The colour code marks the values of the dynamic pressure. The pressure values are on an average larger near the upper wall; there, negative deviations are found in the spoke areas where larger negative vertical velocities occur. They are smaller on an average near the lower wall; there positive pressure deviations occur where the larger negative vertical velocities exist, i.e. where the plumes plunge down. From such figures, one can deduce conclusions on the mechanisms forming some of the cross-correlations in the transport equation models (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1998).

The structures found in this simulation have also been found in our earlier simulations and are verified for smaller Ra by comparisons to experiments (e.g. Grötzbach, 1987, 1989). The macroscopic length scale is determined by the typical horizontal extension of the cell-like structures or by the distances between the knots. Fig. 8 makes obvious that the cell size is much smaller than the computational domain and that the flow will not be limited by the horizontal size of the periodic domain.

The dynamics of this flow is analysed for several Ra by means of movies, some of them are also included in our WWW databank (Wörner and Grötzbach, 1997). The spoke pattern-like structures are attracted by the few dominant plume areas. By withdrawing spokes, small cells contract to knots and remaining cells are enlarged. Within these calm areas new spokes develop due to local Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities. They grow to slice-like spokes, match with other spokes, and divide existing larger cells. The plumes do not appear regularly, but some plume areas move slowly in the horizontal direction. Some plumes merge and may form new knot-like plume centres. The dynamics of the flow found here is comparable to that found at a smaller Rayleigh number (Grötzbach, 1989) except that an larger Ra more and more plumes detach from the wall, degenerate to thermals and do not penetrate deeply into the lower boundary layer (Wörner et al., 1997).

8.4. Balance equation of turbulent kinetic energy

The standard turbulence model in most engineering codes is any variant of a $k-\varepsilon$ model. It is a first order model because it is based on eddy diffusivity assumptions for turbulent shear stresses and heat fluxes. As we already saw, the eddy conductivity concept is not adequate for the thermal energy equation. Therefore, we want to study here the diffusion assumptions in the kinetic energy equation. For fully developed convection in an infinite horizontal fluid layer, the transport equation for $k = 1/2 \langle u'_i u'_i \rangle$ reduces to

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \left[-\left\langle u'_3 \frac{u'_i u'_i}{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle u'_3 p' \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Gr}}} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_3} \right] + \left\langle u'_3, T' \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Gr}}} \left\langle \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_k} \right\rangle.$$

Here D_k denotes the diffusion of k, consisting of a turbulent part $D_{k,t}$ and a molecular part $D_{k,m}$. Responsible for the production G_k is the buoyancy force represented by the turbulent heat flux. The dissipation is ε . The prime Y' for any variable Y denotes in this chapter deviations from the time mean value $\langle Y \rangle$, i.e. the fluctuation in the Reynolds sense.

The vertical kinetic energy profile analysed from the simulation results shows an increase from the lower wall at $x_3 = 0$ to a wide maximum value at about 70% channel height, followed by a rapid decrease to the upper wall at $x_3 = 1$, Fig. 9. The position of the maximum is below that of the heat flux maximum, which is contained as the production term in the analysed energy budget, Fig. 10.

The production G_k is 0 at both walls, is positive and increasing in the upper part of the channel, and is negative in the lower part of the channel. The dissipation is increasing throughout the channel, forming a sharp peak next to the upper wall. There are only two vertical positions where local equilibrium exists; elsewhere, the diffusion term redistributes the energy. Next to the upper wall, it has to balance completely the dissipation. As all terms are analysed independently, the small value of the out-of-balance term $\Sigma = G_k + D_k - \varepsilon$ is a measure for the accuracy of the analysis and indicates that the flow is sufficiently developed.

Terms that have to be modelled in the k equation are the cross-correlations in the diffusion term and dissipation. Here we consider closure assumptions for the diffusive transport. The velocity triple-correlation is the dominant one, Fig. 11; it is large and has large gradients. The pressure velocity cross-correlation is comparatively small and has a large gradient only next to the upper wall. The areas in which the pressure correlation is positive or negative are consistent with the discussion of Fig. 8. In contrast to this result, the turbulent diffusion in Rayleigh–Bénard convection is dominated by the pressure diffusion; the reasoning is discussed by Wörner and Grötzbach, 1998. Both terms change sign at different positions



Fig. 9. Vertical profile of the kinetic turbulence energy, $Ra = 10^8$.



Fig. 10. Terms in the *k*-equation, $Ra = 10^8$.



Fig. 11. Terms from turbulent diffusion $D_{k,t}$ for Ra = 10⁸.

and can therefore not be modelled together as it is usually done.

The model commonly used for closure of turbulent diffusion in the k-equation is

$$-\left\langle u_3' \frac{u_i' u_i'}{2} \right\rangle - \left\langle u_3' p' \right\rangle = \frac{v_t}{\sigma_k} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_3},\tag{11}$$

where v_t is the turbulent diffusivity for momentum calculated from the standard $k-\varepsilon$ model,

$$v_t = C_\mu \ k^2 / \varepsilon \tag{12}$$

and σ_k is a turbulent Prandtl number for kinetic energy. In analysing the right-hand side of Eq. (11) from the simulation results we use the common coefficients $C_{\mu} = 0.09$ and $\sigma_k = 1$. In considering the sign, the left-hand side of Eq. (11) shows downward directed energy fluxes for the lower 85% of the channel height and upward directed fluxes for the upper 15%, Fig. 12. As the energy maximum is found in Fig. 9 to be at 70% height, a counter-gradient flux occurs between 70% and 85%. Indeed, the modelled term deviates qualitatively in this area from the correct right-hand side values. Quantitatively, both curves deviate drastically: the cross-correlations reach values beyond 10^{-4} , the model reaches values somewhat above 10^{-6} . Drastically corrected values of the turbulent Prandtl number would be required to correct for this quantitative difference, but such a measure is of course not meaningful.

From the temperature profile it is known that using eddy conductivities is not practicable with this partially stratified convection; from the k-budget it follows that also simple gradient diffusion concepts fail to model the turbulent diffusion of k. As a consequence one tends towards second order closures, but there gradient diffusion is used again for closure. At least for the turbulent heat flux equation we have already shown that those closure terms are also problematic (Wörner et al., 1997). The relevance of these conclusions has to be checked by careful practical applications of such models.



Fig. 12. Left- and right-hand side of the diffusion model equation (11), $Ra = 10^8$.

9. Conclusions

The methods of DNS and LES are powerful tools to analyse turbulent flows in detail. With increasing progress in numerical methods and with the rapid growth of the computational power, the methods become more attractive and applicable to a wider community. In nuclear reactor research and engineering the methods are nowadays used for similar tasks as in other disciplines, but they may be of different importance.

The DNS method is used in fundamental research to study mechanisms in certain flows. Here, in the nuclear field, we have to rely sometimes on numerical methods because the fluids, like liquid metals, are scarcely accessible for local measurements. DNS is also used for the determination of turbulence statistics to develop special features of statistical turbulence models. The core melt investigations are an example for a fluid of comparable limitations; so DNS is the only method which up to now could contribute reliable and detailed data to the necessary improvements of turbulence models. Results of such simulations were also discussed in this paper. DNS is also a data source to improve existing and to develop new SGS models for low-Reynolds number flows.

The LES method is the method to access high Reynolds or Rayleigh number flows. Usually, in switching over from Reynolds models to LES, one aims at increased accuracy, at better predictability and sometimes also at using the time-dependent features to study flows with instabilities. In the nuclear field, full advantage of LES is taken by applying it intensively to the thermal fluid-structure interaction problem. Conventional theoretical methods rely heavily on input from special purpose experiments, whereas LES produces the temperature fluctuation data required for thermal fatigue analyses without any additional modelling. In this context we find applications of the method in multi-purpose codes and recent impressive applications to complex geometries like to the upper plenum of a large reactor with internal structures.

Two-phase or multi-phase multi-component flows are a challenge to any numerical model. Again, natural features of LES could simplify the physical modelling of the geometrically and physically complex flow. Ongoing developments are related to fundamental aspects of the flow at interfaces and to the interface-turbulence interaction. Work on this topic is of tremendous interest, but we are far from having the methods and tools available to access technical problems right now.

The increasing importance of LES in nuclear applications does not mean that the existing modelling is ideal. There are a number of accurate subgrid models for low Revnolds number flows, like variants of the dynamic model or the structure function model, but when changing from one flow type to another, other models have still to be selected. Existing models need to be improved and assessed for high Reynolds number flows, e.g. to be independent of the wall distance and to remove the turbulent Prandtl number problem. There is obviously a new tendency towards transport equation models. Existing wall conditions allow only for rough approximations; they fail e.g. for separated and purely buoyant flows. A solution may come from the numerical side with the ability to resolve the sublayers by local grid refinement or by unstructured grids. Turbulent inlet conditions still remain expensive, because they can only be provided by separate periodic simulations. Here the community should form an adequate database. Second-order spatial schemes are academically not accepted, but they are standard in many codes for complex geometries. The robust upwind schemes turn out to be inadequate for LES. The progress in numerics, especially in the efficiency of the solvers, made it possible to widely apply LES methods in multi-purpose codes and to investigate geometrically complex flows. The number of nodes which can be used is still below what is needed. The trend towards finite element and unstructured grid discretisations may help to save computing effort and will accelerate the trend towards practical applications of LES in engineering.

References

- Abbà, A., Bucci, R., Cercignani, C., Valdettaro, L, 1995. New variants to the dynamic subgrid scale model. In: Meneguzzi, M. et al. (Eds.), Small-Scale Structures in Three-dimensional Hydrodynamic and Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence, Springer, Berlin, pp. 231–237.
- Archambeau, F.D., Laurence, D., Martin, A., Maupu, V., Pot, G., 1997. Refined turbulence modelling for power generation industry. J. Hydraulics Res. 35, 749–771.
- Bärwolff, G., Wengle, H., Jeggle, H., 1996. Direct numerical simulation of transitional backward-facing step flow manipulated by

oscillating blowing/suction. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modeling and Experiments, vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 219–228.

- Baumann, W., Carteciano, L., Weinberg, D., 1997. Thermal propagation effects in a vertical turbulent flow behind a jet block – a benchmark exercise. J. Hydraulics Res. 35, 843–864.
- Banerjee, S., 1994. Upwellings, downdrafts, and Whirlpools: dominant structures in free surface turbulence. Apl. Mech. Rev. 47, S166– S172.
- Barsamian, H.R., Hassan, Y.A., 1994. Modified subgrid scale model for large eddy simulation of tube bundle cross flows. Flow-Induced Vibration, ASME, PVP 273, 283–288.
- Barsamian, H.R., Hassan, Y.A., 1997. Large eddy simulation of turbulent crossflow in tube bundles. Nucl. Engng. Design 172, 103– 122.
- Barsamian, H.R., Hassan, Y.A., Cueto, O., Emonot, Ph., 1998. Application of the dynamic subgrid scale model to TRIO-U. Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Nuclear Engineering, ICONE-6074.
- Biemüller, M., Meyer, L., Rehme, K., 1996. Large eddy simulation and measurement of the structure of turbulence in two rectangular channels connected by a gap. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 249–258.
- Boris, J.P., Grinstein, F.F., Oran, E.S., Kolbe, R.L., 1992. New insights into large eddy simulation. Fluid Dynamics Res. 10, 199– 228.
- Braun, H., Neumann, H., 1996. Experimental and numerical investigation of turbulent heat transfer in a channel with periodically arranged rib roughness elements. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 643–654.
- Breuer M. 1997. Numerical and modeling influences on large eddy simulations for the flow past a circular cylinder. Turbulent Shear Flows 11, 3.
- Breuer, M., Rodi, W., 1994. Large-eddy simulation of turbulent flow through a straight square duct and a 180° bend. In: Voke, P. et al. (Eds), Direct and Large Eddy Simulation I. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 273–285.
- Breuer, M., Lakehal, D., Rodi, W., 1995. Flow around a surface mounted cubical obstacle: comparison of LES and RANS-results. Notes on Num. Fluid Mech. 53, 22–30.
- Carteciano, L.N., Weinberg, D., Müller, U., 1997. Development and analysis of a turbulence model for buoyant flows. In: Fourth World Conference on Experimental Heat transfer, Fluid Mechanics and Thermodynamics, vol. 3. Pisa Edition. ETS, Brussels, pp. 1339– 1346.
- Chen, X.-Q., Pereira, J.C.F., 1996. Large-eddy simulation of particle dispersion in plane mixing layers. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 259–271.
- Cherdron, W., Grötzbach, G., Samstag, M., Sengpiel, W., Simon, M., Tiseanu, I., 1998. Experimental investigations of air/water bubbly flow in vertical pipes. Third International Conference on Multiphase Flow, Lyon.
- Chollet, J.P., Lesieur, M., 1981. Parameterisation of small scales of three-dimensional isotropic turbulence utilising spectral closures. J. Atmos. Sci. 38, 2747–2757.
- Ciofalo, M., 1994. Large-eddy simulation: a critical survey of models and applications. Advances in Heat Transfer 25, 321–419.
- Ciofalo, M., 1996. Large-eddy simulations of turbulent flow with heat transfer in simple and complex geometries using Harwell-FLOW3D. Appl. Math. Modelling 20, 262–271.
- Ciofalo, M., Collins, M.W., 1992. Large-Eddy simulation of turbulent flow and heat transfer in plane and rib-roughened channels. Int. J. Num. Methods in Fluids 15, 453–489.
- Ciofalo, M., Stasiek, J., Collins, M.W., 1993. Flow and heat transfer in corrugated passages: direct and large eddy simulation and com-

parison with experimental results. In: Rodi, W., Martelli, F. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 2. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 283–292.

- Dai, Y., Kobayashi, T., Taniguchi, N., 1994. Large eddy simulation of plane turbulent jet flow using a new outflow velocity boundary condition. Int. J. JSME.
- Davidson, L. 1997. Large eddy simulation: a dynamic one-equation subgrid model for three-dimensional recirculation flow. Turbulent Shear Flows 11, 26-1–26-6.
- De Angelis, V., Banerjee, S., 1998. Turbulent transport processes across fluid–fluid interfaces, Second EF Conference on Turbulent Heat Transfer, Manchester, UK, pp. 3-3–3-14.
- Deardorff, J.W., 1970. A numerical study of three-dimensional turbulent channel flow at large Reynolds numbers. J. Fluid Mech. 41, 453–480.
- Deardorff, J.W., 1972. Numerical investigation of neutral and unstable planetary boundary layers. J. Atmos. Sci. 29, 91–115.
- Deardorff, J.W., 1973. The use of subgrid transport equations in a three-dimensional model of atmospheric turbulence. J. Fluids Engng. 95, 429–438.
- Deardorff, J.W., Peskin, R.L., 1970. Lagrangian statistics from numerically integrated turbulent shear flow. The Physics of Fluids 13, 584–595.
- Deck, T., 1995. Numerische Simulation einer Kanalströmung um einen quaderförmigen Körper mit Hilfe lokaler Gitterverfeinerung. Dissertation, University of Karlsruhe.
- Dehning, C., 1993. Numerische Untersuchung des Bewegungsverhaltens von Partikeln in turbulenter Kanalströmung bei hohen Reynolds-Zahlen. Dissertation, University of Kaiserslautern.
- Dinh, T.N., Nourgaliev, R.R., 1997. Turbulence modelling for large volumetrically heated liquid pools. Nucl. Engng. and Design 169, 131–150.
- Ducros, F., Nicoud, F., Schönfeld, T., 1997. Large eddy simulations of compressible flows on hybrid meshes. Turbulent Shear Flows 11, 28-1–28-6.
- Eggels, J.G.M., Unger, F., Weiss, M.H., Westerweel, J., Adrian, R.J., Friedrich, R., Nieuwstadt, F.T.M., 1994. Fully developed turbulent pipe flow: a comparison between direct numerical simulation and experiment. J. Fluid Mech. 268, 175–209.
- Fallon, B., Lesieur, M., Delcayre, F., Grand, D., 1997. Large eddy simulations of stable-stratification effects upon a backstep flow. Eur. J. Mech. B/Fluids 16, 625–644.
- Ferziger, J.H., 1983. Higher-level simulations of turbulent flow. In: Essers, J.A. (Ed.), Computational Methods for Turbulent, Transonic, and Viscous Flows. Hemisphere, Washington, DC, pp. 93– 182.
- Ferziger, J.H., 1996. Recent advances in large eddy simulation. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 163–175.
- Friedrich, R., Bertolotti, F.P., 1997. Compressibility effects due to turbulent fluctuations. Appl. Scientific Res. 57, 165–194.
- Fureby, C., Gosman, A.D., Tabor, G., Weller, H.G., 1997. Large eddy simulation of turbulent channel flows. Turbulent Shear Flows 11, 28-13–28-18.
- Germano, M., 1991. Turbulence: The filtering approach. J. Fluid Mech. 238, 326–336.
- Germano, M., Piomelli, U., Moin, P., Cabot, W.H., 1991. A dynamic subgrid-scale eddy viscosity model. Phys. Fluids A3, 1760–1765.
- Ghosal, S., Moin, P., 1995. The basic equations for the large eddy simulation of turbulent flows in complex geometry. J. Comp. Phys. 118, 24–37.
- Ghosal, S., Lund, T.S., Moin, P., Akselvoll, K., 1995. A dynamic localization model for large-eddy simulation of turbulent flows. J. Fluid Mech. 286, 229–255.
- Grand, D., Urbin, G., Menant, B., Villand, M., 1997. Large eddy simulations in nuclear reactors thermalhydraulics. J. Hydraulics Res. 35, 831–842.

- Grötzbach, G., 1981. Numerical simulation of turbulent temperature fluctuations in liquid metals. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 24, 475–490.
- Grötzbach, G., 1982a. Direct numerical simulation of laminar and turbulent Bénard convection. J. Fluid Mech. 119, 27–53.
- Grötzbach, G., 1982b. Direct numerical simulation of the turbulent momentum and heat transfer in an internally heated fluid layer. In: Grigull U. et al. (Eds.), Heat Transfer 1982. Hemisphere, pp. 141– 146.
- Grötzbach, G., 1983. Spatial resolution requirements for direct numerical simulation of the Rayleigh–Bénard convection. J. Comp. Phys. 49, 241–264.
- Grötzbach, G. 1987. Direct numerical and large eddy simulation of turbulent channel flows. In: Cheremisinoff, N.P. (Ed.), Encyclopaedia of Fluid Mech., vol. 6. Gulf Publication. Houston, pp. 1337–1391.
- Grötzbach, G., 1989. Turbulent heat transfer in an internally heated fluid layer. In: Iwasa, Y. et al. (Eds.), Refined Flow Modelling and Turbulence Measurements. Un. Ac. Press, pp. 267–275.
- Grötzbach, G., 1990. Simulation of turbulent flow and heat transfer for selected problems of nuclear thermal-hydraulics. In: Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, The First International Conference on Supercomputing in Nuclear Applications, Tokyo. Publ. Nuclear Energy Data Center, Tokai-mura, Japan, pp. 29–35.
- Grötzbach, G., 1995. Direct numerical and large eddy simulation of turbulent heat transfer. In: Hanjalic, K., Pereira, J.C.F. (Eds.), Turbulence, Heat Mass Transfer, vol. 1. Begell House, pp. 25–39.
- Grötzbach, G., Schumann, U., 1977. Direct numerical simulation of turbulent velocity-, pressure- and temperature-fields in channel flows. In: Durst, F. et al., Turbulent Shear Flows I. Springer, Berlin, pp. 370–385.
- Grötzbach, G., Wörner, M., 1992. Analysis of second order transport equations by numerical simulations of turbulent convection in liquid metals. Fifth Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics, vol. 2. ANS, pp. 358–365.
- Härtel, C., Kleiser, L., 1994. Subgrid-Scale modelling in the near-wall region of turbulent wall-bounded flows. In: Voke, P. et al. (Eds.), Direct and Large Eddy Simulation, vol. I. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 97–107.
- Härtel, C., Kleiser, L., 1997. Gallilean invariance and filtering dependence of near-wall grid-scale/subgrid scale interactions in large-eddy simulation. Phys. Fluids 9, 473–475.
- Hashiguchi, M., 1996. Turbulence simulation in the Japanese automotive industry. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 291–308.
- Hassan, Y.A., Lee, S., 1993. Application of large eddy simulation to three-dimensional tube bundle flows. In: Courtaud, M., Delhaye, J.M. (Eds.), Sixth International Top. Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal Hydraulics, vol. 2, pp. 1415–1419.
- Hassan, Y.A., Pruitt, J.M., Steininger, D.A., 1995. A perspective on large eddy simulation of problems in the nuclear industry. Nucl. Technol. 112, 324–330.
- Jansen, K., 1996. Large eddy simulation of flow around a NACA 4412 airfoil using unstructured grids. Center for Turbulence Research, Annual Research Briefs, pp. 225–232.
- Jones, W.P., Wille, M., 1996. Large eddy simulation of a round jet in a cross flow. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 199–208.
- Kaltenbach, H.-J., Gerz, T., Schumann, U., 1991. Transport of passive scalars in neutrally and stably stratified homogeneous turbulent shear flows. In: Johansson, A.V., Alfredsson, P.H. (Eds.), Advances in Turbulence, vol. 3. Springer, Berlin, pp. 327–334.
- Kasagi, N., 1997. Progress in direct numerical simulation of turbulent transport and its control. Turb. Heat Mass Transfer, 19–34.
- Kato, C., Ikegawa, M., 1991. Large eddy simulation of unsteady turbulent wake of a circular cylinder using the finite element

method. In: Celik, I. et al. (Eds.), Advances in Numerical Simulation of Turbulent Flows, vol. 117. ASME, New York, pp. 49–56.

- Kawamura, T., 1985. Direct numerical simulation of a turbulent inner flow by finite-difference methods. AIAA, New York, pp. 1–10.
- Kikuchi, Y., Kawasaki, T., Shioyama, T., 1982. Thermal convection in a horizontal fluid layer heated internally and from below. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 25, 363–370.
- Kikuchi, Y., Shioyama, T., Kawara, Z., 1986. Turbulent heat transport in a horizontal fluid layer heated internally and from below. Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 29, 451–461.
- Kim, J., 1988. Investigation of heat and momentum transport in turbulent flows via numerical simulations. In: Hirata, M., Kasagi, N. (Eds.), Transport Phenomena in Turbulent Flows. Hemisphere, New York, pp. 715–730.
- Kleiser, L., Zang, T.A., 1991. Numerical simulation of transitional wall-bounded shear flows. Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 23, 495–537.
- Kraichnan, R.H., 1976. Eddy viscosity in two and three-dimensions. J. Atmos. Sci. 33, 1521–1536.
- Kravchenko, A.G., Moin, P., 1997. On the effect of numerical errors in large eddy simulations of turbulent flows. J. Comp. Phys. 131, 310– 322.
- Laurence, D., 1998. LES and RANSE of turbulent flow in tube bundles. Second EF Conference on Turbulent Heat Transfer, Manchester, UK.
- Leith, C.E., 1990. Stochastic backscatter in a subgrid-scale model: plane shear mixing layer. Phys. Fluids A2, 297–299.
- Leonard, A., 1974. Energy cascade in large-eddy simulations of turbulent fluid flows. Adv. Geophys. 18A, 237–248.
- Lesieur, M., Metais, O., 1996. New trends in large eddy simulations of turbulence. Ann. Rev. Fluid. Mech 28, 45–82.
- Lesieur, R., Rogallo, R., 1989. Large-eddy simulation of passive scalar diffusion in isotropic turbulence. Phys. Fluids A1, 4, 718-722.
- Lipps, F.B., 1976. Numerical simulation of three-dimensional Bénard convection in air. J. Fluid Mech. 75, 113–148.
- Lombardi, P., De Angelis, V., Banerjee, S., 1995. Direct numerical simulation of near-interface turbulence in coupled gas–liquid flow. Phys. Fluids 8, 1643–1665.
- Lu, X., Dalton, Ch., Zhang, J., 1996. Application of large eddy simulation to an oscillating flow past a circular cylinder. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 187–197.
- Manhart, M., Wengle, H., 1993. A spatiotemporal decomposition of a fully inhomogeneous turbulent flow field. Theoret. Comput. Fluid Dynamics 5, 223–242.
- Mason, P.J., 1994. Large-eddy simulation: a critical review of the technique. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc. 120, 1–26.
- Mason, P.J., Thomson, D.J., 1992. Stochastic backscatter in largeeddy simulations of boundary layers. J. Fluid Mech. 242, 51–78.
- Maxey, M.R., Chang, E.J., 1996. Direct simulations of microbubble dynamics and turbulent flow. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 273-278.
- Meinke, M., Hofhaus, J., Abdelfattah, A., 1998. Simulation of vortex ring interaction. In: Krause, E. (Ed.), IUTAM Symposium on Dynamics of Slender Vortices. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Moeng, C.H., 1984. A Large-eddy-simulation model for the study of planetary boundary-layer turbulence. J. Atmos. Sci. 41, 2052–2062.
- Moin, P., Kim, J., 1982. Numerical investigation of turbulent channel flow. J. Fluid. Mech. 118, 341–377.
- Moin, P., Kravchenko, A.G., 1998. Numerical issues in large eddy simulations of turbulent flows. Conference on Numerical Methods for Fluid Dynamics, Oxford.
- Moin, P., Mahesh, K., 1998. Direct numerical simulation: a tool in turbulence research. Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 30, 539–578.
- Murakami, S., Mochida, A., Tominaga, Y., 1994. Numerical simulation of turbulent diffusion in cities. In: Plate, E.J. (Ed.), The Effect

of Urbanisation on Windfields, Air Pollution Spreading and Wind Forces. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

- Muramatsu, T., 1993. Intensity and frequency evaluations of sodium temperature fluctuations related to thermal striping phenomena based on numerical methods. Fifth International Symposium on Refined Flow Modelling and Turbulence Measurements, Presses Ponts et Chaussees, Paris, pp. 351–358.
- Naitoh, K., Kuwahara, K., 1992. Large eddy simulation and direct simulation of compressible turbulence and combusting flows in engines based on the BI-SCALES method. Fluid Dynamics Res. 10, 299–325.
- Neto, A.S., Grand, D., Métais, O., Lesieur, M., 1993. A numerical investigation of the coherent vortices in turbulence behind a backward-facing step. J. Fluid. Mech. 256, 1–25.
- Nieuwstadt, F.T.M., 1990. Direct and large-eddy simulation of free convection. Ninth International Heat Transfer Conference, Amer. Soc. Mech. Engng. I, 37–47.
- Nieuwstadt, F.T.M., Mason, P.J., Moeng, C.-H., Schumann, U., 1993. Large eddy simulation of the convective boundary layer: a comparison of four computer codes. In: Durst F. et al. (Eds.), Turbulent Shear Flow, vol. 8. Springer-V, Berlin, pp. 343–367.
- Normand, X., Lesieur, M., 1992. Direct and large-eddy simulations of transition in the compressible boundary layer. Theoret. Comput. Fluid Dynamics 3, 231–252.
- Nourgaliev, R.R., Dinh, T.N., 1997. An investigation of turbulence characteristics in an internally heated unstably stratified fluid layer. Nucl. Engng. and Design 178, 235–258.
- Nourgaliev, R.R., Dinh, T.N., Sehgal, B.R., 1997. Effect of fluid Prandtl number on heat transfer characteristics in internally heated liquid pools with Rayleigh numbers up to 10¹². Nucl. Engng. and Design 168, 165–184.
- Orszag, S.A., Patterson Jr., G.S., 1972. Numerical simulation of turbulence. In: Rosenblatt, M., van Atta, C. (Eds.), Statistical Models and Turbulence, Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer-V, Berlin, pp. 127–147.
- Piomelli, U., Zang, T.A., Speziale, C.G., Hussaini, Y., 1990. On the large-eddy simulation of transitional wall-bounded flows. Phys. Fluids A2 (2), 257–265.
- Rodi, W., Ferziger, J.H., Breuer, M., Pourquie, M., 1997. Status of large eddy simulation: results of a workshop. J. Fluids Engng. 119, 248–262.
- Roubin, P.H.L., Astegiano, J.C., 1997. Computation of the flow structure and temperature mixing in the core outlet region of the Phenix reactor. Eighth Intenational Top. Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics, pp. 1388–1394.
- Schemm, C.E., Lipps, F.B., 1976. Some results from a simplified threedimensional numerical model of atmospheric turbulence. J. Atmos. Sci. 33, 1021–1041.
- Schmidt, H., Schumann, U., 1989. Coherent structure of the convective boundary layer derived from large-eddy simulations. J. Fluid Mech. 200, 511–562.
- Schmidt, M., Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1997. Direkte numerische Simulation der Konvektion in einer Fluidschicht mit interner Wärmequelle. FZKA 5916, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe.
- Schmitt, L., Friedrich, R., 1987. Large-eddy simulation of turbulent backward facing step flow. In: Deville, M. (Ed.), Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics, vol. 20. Vieweg, Braunschweig, pp. 355-362.
- Schumann, U., 1975. Subgrid scale model for finite difference simulations of turbulent flows in plane channels and annuli. J. Comput. Phys. 18, 376–404.
- Schumann, U., 1993. Direct and large eddy simulation of turbulence summary of the state of the art 1993. In: Olivari, D. (Ed.), Introduction of the Modeling of Turbulence, von Karman Lecture Series 1993–02.
- Schumann, U., Grötzbach, G., Kleiser, L., 1980. Direct numerical simulation of turbulence. In: Kollmann, W. (Ed.), Prediction

Methods for Turbulent Flows. Hemisphere, Washington, DC, pp. 123–258.

- Scotti, A., Meneveau, C., Fatica, M., 1997. Dynamic smagorinsky model on anisotropic grids. Phys. Fluids 9, 1856–1858.
- Seiter, C., 1995. Numerische Simulation turbulenter Auftriebsströmungen in horizontalen Kanälen. FZKA 5505, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe.
- Simoneau, J.P., Noe, H. Menant, B., 1995. Large eddy simulation of mixing between hot and cold sodium flows – comparison with experiments. Seventh International Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics, vol. 2, NUREG/CP-0142, pp. 1324–1332.
- Simoneau, J.P., Noe, H. Menant, B., 1997. Large eddy simulation of sodium flow in a tee junction – comparison of temperature fluctuations with experiments. Eighth International Top. Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics, vol. 3, pp. 1404–1411.
- Smagorinsky, J.S., 1963. General circulation experiments with the primitive equations: 1. The basic experiment. Mon. Weather. Rev. 91, 99–164.
- Sommeria, G., 1976. Three-Dimensional simulation of turbulent processes in an undisturbed trade wind boundary layer. J. Atmos. Sci. 33, 216–241.
- Sullivan, P.P., Moeng, C.H., 1992. An evaluation of the dynamic subgrid scale model in buoyancy driven flows. Tenth Symposium on Turbulence and Diffusion, American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, pp. 82–85.
- Surle, F., Berger, R., Menant, B. Grand, D., 1993. Comparison between sodium stratification tests on the cormoran model and Trio-VF computations. In: Courtaud, M., Delhaye, J.M. (Eds.), Sixth International Top. Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal Hydraulics, vol. I, pp. 533–540.
- Tenchine, D., Moro, J.P., 1997. Experimental and numerical study of coaxial jets. Eight International Top. Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics, vol. 3, pp. 1381–1387.
- Urbin, G., Brun, C., Metais, O., 1997. Large eddy simulations of threedimensional spatially evolving round jets. Turb. Shear Flows 11.
- Ushijima, S., Tanaka, N., 1993. Direct numerical simulation of fluidstructure thermal interaction occurring in the presence of internal waves. Sixth International Top. Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal-Hydraulics, vol. 2, pp. 1397–1404.
- Voke, P.R., Gao, S., 1994. Large-eddy simulation of heat transfer from an impinging plane jet. Int. J. Num. Methods Engng.
- Voke, P.R., Yang, Z., Savill, A.M., 1996. Large eddy simulation and modelling of transition following a leading-edge separation bubble. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 601–610.
- Weinberg, D., Rust, K., Hoffmann, H., 1996. Overviewreport on passive decay heat removal. FZKA-5667, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe.
- Weinberger, C., Rewerts, J., Janicka, J., 1997. The influence of inlet conditions on a large eddy simulation of a turbulent plane jet. Turb. Shear Flows 11, 25-17–25-22.
- Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1992. Analysis of semi-implicit time integration schemes for direct numerical simulation of turbulent convection in liquid metals. In: Vos, J.B. et al. (Eds.), Notes on Num. Fluid Mech., vol. 35. Vieweg, Braunschweig, pp. 542–551.
- Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1993a. Turbulent heat flux balance for natural convection in air and sodium analysed by direct numerical simulations. Fifth International Symposium on Refined Flow Modelling and Turbulence Measurements, Presses Ponts et Chaussees, Paris, pp. 335–342.
- Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1993b. Analysis of diffusion of turbulent kinetic energy by numerical simulations of natural convection in liquid metals. In: Courtaud, M., Delhaye, J.M. (Eds.), Sixth International Top. Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermal Hydraulics, vol. I, pp. 186–193.
- Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1994. Analysis of thermal variance equation for natural convection of air and sodium. In: Hanjalic,

K., Pereira, J.C.F. (Eds.), Heat Mass Transfer, vol. 1. Begell House, pp. 332–337.

- Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1996. Analysis of the transport equation of temperature variance dissipation rate by direct numerical simulation data of natural convection. In: Rodi, W., Bergeles, G. (Eds.), Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Experiments, vol. 3, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 229–238.
- Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1997. DNS data base of turbulent natural convection in horizontal fluid layers. World Wide Web-page// www.fzk.de/IRS/eng.
- Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., 1998. Pressure transport in direct numerical simulations of turbulent natural convection in horizontal fluid layers. Int. J. Heat and Fluid Flow 19, 150–158.
- Wörner, M., Schmidt, M., Grötzbach, G., 1997. DNS of turbulence in an internally heated convective fluid layer and implications for statistical modelling. J. Hydraulic Res. 35, 773–797.
- Ye, Q.-Y., Wörner, M., Grötzbach, G., Jovanovic, J., 1997. Modelling turbulent dissipation rate for Rayleigh–Bénard convection. In: Hanjalic, K., Peeters, T.W.J. (Eds.), Turbulence, Heat Mass Transfer, vol. 2. Delft University Press, Delft, pp. 331–340.